UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 18-0393 CRB
Plaintiff, V. Adam Roger Asleson Defendant. For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Speedy Trial Act from 8/25, 2018 to 10/3 by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the publication of the public	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION OS TO SOLUTION OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT. **ORTHERN OS TO SOLUTION O
Failure to grant a continuance would be li See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	kely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the prosect and or law, that it is unreasonable to expect and	e to [check applicable reasons] the number of cution, or the existence of novel questions of fact lequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 8 28 8	JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney